1713 00050 OF MOUTURE OLITOII! ULUNET



Div,

L Declass C 2 2018

WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

1 2 NOV 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF, STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND SUBJECT: SIOP Planning (U) (U) I am particularly appreciative of your recent quarterly report. The thoughts of the Commanders of our operating forces are absolutably essential in making judgements on the programs we need. absolutely essential in making judgements on the programs we need.

You expressed concern about appreciation of the necessity for a prompt retaliatory launch in response to a major Soviet attack. I agree that the ability of our forces to execute promptly is essential to deterrence. The Soviets must believe that our response can be swift. A prompt response would improve SIOP effectiveness against certain targets and would deny the Soviets additional time to complete an attack prior to the impact of our response. The failure to launch promptly would result in the destruction of many U.S. forces; a prompt retaliation would avoid this weakening of the U.S. response.

Mino It is important, however, to distinguish between these advantages of a capability to launch promptly and our ability to guarantee we will be successful in launching early. We cannot, of course, make such a guarantee. Further, Presidential guidance, as expressed in NSDD-13, requires us "not to rely on launching our nuclear forces in an irrevocable manner upon warning that a Soviet missile attack has begun." Given the uncertainties sure to accompany the onset of war, it is not prudent to rely absolutely -- without any back-up -- upon perfect functioning of our warning, command and control, and communications, and it is not our policy to do so. Our nuclear planning must prudently account for -- and hedge against -the possibility that, for whatever reason, our forces may not be executed before the Soviet attack arrives. I have asked the JCS to address this issue as expeditiously as possible.

These considerations are, of course, fully consistent with the Strategic Modernization Program and we continue to give this Program our full support. I am responsible, however, to ensure that the President has the most effective response available in any conceivable emergency.

Office of the Secretary of Defense SUSC 55 Chief, RDD, ESD, WHS Date: 02 Jan 2018 Authority: EO 13526 Declassify: Deny in Full: Declassify in Part: 24411 Reason: 3.3(b MDR: -M-OII Copy to: Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff 0115





OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

DECLASSIFIED IN PART Authority: EO 13526 Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS Date: JAN 0 2 2018 SEC DEF

CM-94-85 10 December 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE Subject: Collateral Damage Restraint (U)

COFIE

10.00

3:000, 107 2

(5) (5) (5) JS336)(5)

1. (1) In answer to your memorandum,* we are currently preparing a change to the collateral damage restraint methodology in planning SIOP attacks.

2. The your memorandum, you recommended that we replace the current criterion

3. **(We)** We are making every effort to implement the second concept as soon as possible. An initial draft of the change to the restraint methodology will be available this month. Depending on the completion date of the formal staffing, it may be possible to implement the approach in the staffing of the result in a stage in the planning process that would result in a delay in the implementation date of 1 October 1986 for this revision. In any case, we will implement appropriate changes in the JCS planning guidance for the which will become effective on 1 October 1987. We will keep you and your staff advised on our progress.

WILLIAM J. CROWE,

Chairman Joint Chiefs of Station

Raference; * Secretary of Defense memorandum, 12 November 1985, subject as above